



Sulfates From the Get-go in Stratospheric Volcanic Eruptions: Synergistic Application of Satellite Remote Sensing

Mike Fromm, NRL DC

Thanks to René Servranckx, Pat Kablick

AOS Applications Seminar: 27 October 2022

<u>Climate-volcano factors:</u> * Injection height, duration * Total sulfur mass



Current Observational Weaknesses

- * Injection height, duration
- * Total sulfur mass

Outstanding Science Questions

- ? Can satellite data accurately characterize eruption parameters ?
- ? How close to the truth is satellite-based sulfur information ?
- ? How well do we know volcanic sulfur processing?
- ? What is the best approach for answering the above questions ?



Revisiting Robock and Matson (1983) "Circumglobal Transport of the El Chichón Volcanic Dust loud

From Fig. 1



6 April 1982

El Chichon +1-2 days

Revisiting Robock and Matson (1983) "Circumglobal Transport of the El Chichón Volcanic Dust loud



"I'm better when I move." - The Sundance Kid (Robert Redford)

IR Vis Animation



goes05.1982.095.2018.AREA_VIS

04/05/1982 20:18 GMT | 1.00 image ()

101.60 152.40 203.20 254.00





El Chichon: 5-6 April 1982

\$⁵-160-155-150-145-

Now to Mount Pinatubo





AVHRR Visible

18 June 1991 08:11 UTC

Stratospheric VOG!



Nabro 13 June 2011 True color, SO₂, AI



20WE-2 20





Fromm et al. (JGR, 2014)

Wrapping it up...



- * Key climate ingredient, sulfur burden, still a major uncertainty.
- * Sulfates from the get-go are the rule, not the exception. * the eye doesn't lie[©] And neither does lidar.
- * SO₂ retrievals positively correlated with sulfate aerosol index.
 * UV- and IR-based SO₂ retrievals
- * If there is aerosol-gas contamination, historic sulfur budgets are compromised.
- * If no contamination, particulate sulfur must be added to SO_{2.}
- * Synergistic use of satellite data is key to answering this question...and more.